

		<i>Speaker/Moderator¹</i>
09.30	Welcome	Georg Spöttl (DE) James Calleja (MT)
09.40	Introduction	Gerald Thiel (DE)
09.50	Introductory notes I on “Referencing Experiences”:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experiences from Ireland • Experiences from Malta • Experiences from the eCompetence Framework 	Anne Murphy (IE) James Calleja (MT) Terry Hook (UK)
11.15	<i>Break</i>	
11.30	Round table I on “Referencing to the work process and to the EQF – What are the commonalities, what the differences? A review of experiences and prospects”	Moderator: Claudio Demartini (IT)
12.30	<i>Lunch</i>	
13.00	Introductory notes II on “Referencing Reflections”:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EQF Ref • SECCOMPAT • Matching frames • NQF-SQF Employability Grid 	Sigrid Nindl (AT) Vidmantas Tütlys (LT) Georg Spöttl (DE) Gerald Thiel (DE)
14.00	Round table II on “Referencing– review of challenges and options”	Moderator: Tormod Skjerve (NO)
15.00	<i>Break</i>	
15.15	Round table III on “How shall we proceed? Suggestions and a debate on it”	Moderator: t.b.d.
15.45	Conclusions and closing	Georg Spöttl (DE)
16.00	<i>End of workshop</i>	

¹ Speakers and moderators to be confirmed.

Basic considerations for expert workshop I

The Workshop shall enable a discussion about one of the basic requirements to be considered with the project *Common Grounds for Referencing NQFs and SQFs to the EQF: The right basis of referencing*. Three types of contributions are envisaged:

1. Contributions about national/sectoral frameworks as such (with regard to introductory notes I on and round table I)
2. Contributions about methodological approaches to interpret frameworks (with regard to introductory notes II and round table II)
3. Contributions about creating conditions for a continuous debate on enhancing referencing approaches - ideas for further procedure (to be discussed in the final round table)

The following key questions serve as the major thread through for the overall expert workshop with its different types of contributions and discussions on the main topic *right basis of referencing*:

1. What are the starting points for setting up frameworks? Which kind of references to the work process exist? How are the *structures* of work processes mirrored in the frameworks? Over occupational profiles or other ensembles of required abilities? Which are the relationships between descriptors? How far do the structures of the frameworks favour, how far do they prevent “fragmentation of learning outcomes”? What are the current links to the EQF?
2. Which problems deliver the starting point for the methodological approaches? How are work processes considered? How are learning outcomes to be achieved derived from work processes and how far does this solve the problems encountered? How far do different approaches imply a critical position to the EQF?
3. Ideas should focus on sustainable structures for further promising debates, answering the following questions: How can relevant stakeholders be gained to participate continuously in such a debate? Which technical/financial/organisational requirements have to be fulfilled for this purpose?